

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,989	02/27/2002	Greg Johnson	33692.01.0053	9054	
23418	7590 01/24/2005		EXAMINER		
VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ 222 N. LASALLE STREET			VU, VIET DUY		
CHICAGO, IL 60601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2154		

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/085,989	JOHNSON ET AL.	
nance, y neuen	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Viet Vu	2154	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orresp ndence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to available in a condition under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply high places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriations in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s): art rejection of claim 5.		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: se	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			•
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims were appeared to the proposed amendment of the pro	e(s) a) will not be entered or by ould be rejected is provided belo)⊠ will be entered a w or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: 2,5 and 8.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,4,6,7,9 and 10</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u> </u>		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	•		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449)	·	
10.⊠ Other: <u>see attached sheet</u>		m(m	
·	·	Viet Vu Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2154	

Application/Control Number: 10/085,989 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

Response to Arguments:

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/29/04 with respect to

claims 1, 3-4, 6-7 and 9-10 have been fully considered but they

are not deemed persuasive.

Applicant alleges that the final office action mailed

8/26/04 fails to address new claim 10.

The examiner submits that the final office action, mailed

8/26/04, contained a typo error that inadvertently omitted claim

10 from the rejection heading. The office action however clearly

discussed limitation of claim 10 in page 3, paragraphs 2-3 where

Newlin's user interface program (135, fig. 1) was said to enable

user to interact with different communication modes (see

Newlin's col 4, lines 1-27). It is further noted that this

program clearly either comprises or requires different user

interface agents for allowing conveying alert signals to users

on different user devices including at least telephone 185 and

video display 170, each of which represents a separate user

interface (see Newlin's col 4, lines 10-15).

Applicant also reiterates previous arguments filed 6/18/04.

Again those arguments are not found persuasive as already

discussed in the final office action mailed 8/26/04.

1/14/05

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

ZMIN